Learning Objectives
After reading this chapter, you will be able to:

1. Understand the role of forecasting for both an enterprise and a supply chain.
2. Identify the components of a demand forecast.
3. Forecast demand in a supply chain given historical demand data using time-series methodologies.
4. Analyze demand forecasts to estimate forecast error.

Forecasts of future demand are essential for making supply chain decisions. In this chapter, we explain how historical demand information can be used to forecast future demand and how these forecasts affect the supply chain. We describe several methods to forecast demand and estimate a forecast's accuracy. We then discuss how these methods can be implemented using Microsoft Excel.

7.1 THE ROLE OF FORECASTING IN A SUPPLY CHAIN

Demand forecasts form the basis of all supply chain planning. Consider the push/pull view of the supply chain discussed in Chapter 1. All push processes in the supply chain are performed in anticipation of customer demand, whereas all pull processes are performed in response to customer demand. For push processes, a manager must plan the level of activity, be it production, transportation, or any other planned activity. For pull processes, a manager must plan the level of available capacity and inventory but not the actual amount to be executed. In both instances, the first step a manager must take is to forecast what customer demand will be.

For example, Dell orders PC components in anticipation of customer orders, whereas it performs assembly in response to customer orders. Dell uses a forecast of future demand to determine the quantity of components to have on hand (a push process) and to determine the capacity needed in its plants (for pull production). Farther up the supply chain, Intel also needs forecasts to determine its own production and inventory levels. Intel's suppliers also need forecasts for the same reason. When each stage in the supply chain makes its own separate forecast, these forecasts are often very different. The result is a mismatch between supply and demand. When all stages of a supply chain work together to produce a collaborative forecast, it tends to be much more accurate. The resulting forecast accuracy enables supply chains to be both more responsive and more efficient in serving their customers. Leaders in many supply chains, from PC manufacturers to packaged-goods retailers, have
improved their ability to match supply and demand by moving toward collaborative forecasting.

For example, consider the value of collaborative forecasting for Coca-Cola and its bottlers. Coca-Cola decides on the timing of various promotions based on the demand forecast over the coming quarter. Promotion decisions are then incorporated into an updated demand forecast. The updated forecast is essential for the bottlers to plan their capacity and production decisions. A bottler operating without an updated forecast based on the promotion is unlikely to have sufficient supply available for Coca-Cola, thus hurting supply chain profits.

Mature products with stable demand, such as milk or paper towels, are usually easiest to forecast. Forecasting and the accompanying managerial decisions are extremely difficult when either the supply of raw materials or the demand for the finished product is highly unpredictable. Fashion goods and many high-tech products are examples of items that are difficult to forecast. Good forecasting is very important in these cases because the time window for sales is narrow. If a firm has over- or underproduced, it has little chance to recover. For a product with stable demand, in contrast, the impact of a forecasting error is less significant.

Before we begin an in-depth discussion of the components of forecasts and forecasting methods in the supply chain, we briefly list characteristics of forecasts that a manager must understand to design and manage his or her supply chain effectively.

### 7.2 Characteristics of Forecasts

Companies and supply chain managers should be aware of the following characteristics of forecasts.

1. **Forecasts are always wrong and should thus include both the expected value of the forecast and a measure of forecast error.** To understand the importance of forecast error, consider two car dealers. One of them expects sales to range between 100 and 1,900 units, whereas the other expects sales to range between 900 and 1,100 units. Even though both dealers anticipate average sales of 1,000, the sourcing policies for each dealer should be very different given the difference in forecast accuracy. Thus, the forecast error (or demand uncertainty) must be a key input into most supply chain decisions. Unfortunately, most firms do not maintain any estimate of forecast error.

2. **Long-term forecasts are usually less accurate than short-term forecasts; that is, long-term forecasts have a larger standard deviation of error relative to the mean than short-term forecasts.** Seven-Eleven Japan has exploited this key property to improve its performance. The company has instituted a replenishment process that enables it to respond to an order within hours. For example, if a store manager places an order by 10 A.M., the order is delivered by 7 P.M. the same day. Therefore, the manager only has to forecast what will sell that night less than 12 hours before the actual sale. The short lead time allows a manager to take into account current information, such as the weather, which could affect product sales. This forecast is likely to be more accurate than if the store manager had to forecast demand one week in advance.

3. **Aggregate forecasts are usually more accurate than disaggregate forecasts, as they tend to have a smaller standard deviation of error relative to the mean.** For example, it is easy to forecast the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the United States for a given year with less than a 2 percent error. However, it is much more difficult to forecast yearly revenue for a company with less than a 2 percent error, and it is even...
harder to forecast revenue for a given product with the same degree of accuracy. The key difference among the three forecasts is the degree of aggregation. The GDP is an aggregation across many companies and the earnings of a company are an aggregation across several product lines. The greater the aggregation, the more accurate is the forecast.

4. In general, the farther up the supply chain a company is (or the farther it is from the consumer), the greater is the distortion of information it receives. One classic example of this is the bullwhip effect (see Chapter 17), in which order variation is amplified as orders move farther from the end customer. As a result, the farther up the supply chain an enterprise is, the larger is the forecast error. Collaborative forecasting based on sales to the end customer helps upstream enterprises reduce forecast error.

In the next section, we discuss the basic components of a forecast, explain the four classifications into which forecasting methods fall, and introduce the notion of forecast error.

7.3 COMPONENTS OF A FORECAST AND FORECASTING METHODS

Yogi Berra, the former New York Yankees catcher who is famous for his malapropisms, once said, “Predictions are usually difficult, especially about the future.” One may be tempted to treat demand forecasting as magic or art and leave everything to chance. What a firm knows about its customers’ past behavior, however, sheds light on their future behavior. Demand does not arise in a vacuum. Rather, customer demand is influenced by a variety of factors and can be predicted, at least with some probability, if a company can determine the relationship between these factors and future demand. To forecast demand, companies must first identify the factors that influence future demand and then ascertain the relationship between these factors and future demand.

Companies must balance objective and subjective factors when forecasting demand. Although we focus on quantitative forecasting methods in this chapter, companies must include human input when they make their final forecast. Seven-Eleven Japan illustrates this point.

Seven-Eleven Japan provides its store managers with a state-of-the-art decision support system that makes a demand forecast and provides a recommended order. The store manager, however, is responsible for making the final decision and placing the order, because he or she may have access to information about market conditions that are not available in historical demand data. This knowledge of market conditions is likely to improve the forecast. For example, if the store manager knows that the weather is likely to be rainy and cold the next day, he or she can reduce the size of an ice cream order to be placed with an upstream supplier, even if demand was high during the previous few days when the weather was hot. In this instance, a change in market conditions (the weather) would not have been predicted using historical demand data. A supply chain can experience substantial payoffs from improving its demand forecasting through qualitative human inputs.

A company must be knowledgeable about numerous factors that are related to the demand forecast. Some of these factors are listed next.

- Past demand
- Lead time of product
- Planned advertising or marketing efforts
• State of the economy
• Planned price discounts
• Actions that competitors have taken

A company must understand such factors before it can select an appropriate forecasting methodology. For example, historically a firm may have experienced low demand for chicken noodle soup in July and high demand in December and January. If the firm decides to discount the product in July, the situation is likely to change, with some of the future demand shifting to the month of July. The firm should make its forecast taking this factor into consideration.

Forecasting methods are classified according to the following four types.

1. **Qualitative**: Qualitative forecasting methods are primarily subjective and rely on human judgment. They are most appropriate when little historical data is available or when experts have market intelligence that may affect the forecast. Such methods may also be necessary to forecast demand several years into the future in a new industry.

2. **Time series**: Time-series forecasting methods use historical demand to make a forecast. They are based on the assumption that past demand history is a good indicator of future demand. These methods are most appropriate when the basic demand pattern does not vary significantly from one year to the next. These are the simplest methods to implement and can serve as a good starting point for a demand forecast.

3. **Causal**: Causal forecasting methods assume that the demand forecast is highly correlated with certain factors in the environment (the state of the economy, interest rates, etc.). Causal forecasting methods find this correlation between demand and environmental factors and use estimates of what environmental factors will be to forecast future demand. For example, product pricing is strongly correlated with demand. Companies can thus use causal methods to determine the impact of price promotions on demand.

4. **Simulation**: Simulation forecasting methods imitate the consumer choices that give rise to demand to arrive at a forecast. Using simulation, a firm can combine time-series and causal methods to answer such questions as: What will be the impact of a price promotion? What will be the impact of a competitor opening a store nearby? Airlines simulate customer buying behavior to forecast demand for higher-fare seats when there are no seats available at the lower fares.

A company may find it difficult to decide which method is most appropriate for forecasting. In fact, several studies have indicated that using multiple forecasting methods to create a combined forecast is more effective than using any one method alone.

In this chapter we deal primarily with time-series methods, which are most appropriate when future demand is related to historical demand, growth patterns, and any seasonal patterns. With any forecasting method, there is always a random element that cannot be explained by historical demand patterns. Therefore, any observed demand can be broken down into a systematic and a random component:

\[ \text{Observed demand (O)} = \text{systematic component (S)} + \text{random component (R)} \]

The **systematic component** measures the expected value of demand and consists of what we will call **level**, the current deseasonalized demand; **trend**, the rate of growth or decline in demand for the next period; and **seasonality**, the predictable seasonal fluctuations in demand.

The **random component** is that part of the forecast that deviates from the systematic part. A company cannot (and should not) forecast the direction of the random
component. All a company can predict is the random component’s size and variability, which provides a measure of forecast error. On average, a good forecasting method has an error whose size is comparable to the random component of demand. A manager should be skeptical of a forecasting method that claims to have no forecasting error on historical demand. In this case, the method has merged the historical random component with the systematic component. As a result, the forecasting method will likely perform poorly. The objective of forecasting is to filter out the random component (noise) and estimate the systematic component. The forecast error measures the difference between the forecast and actual demand.

7.4 BASIC APPROACH TO DEMAND FORECASTING

The following basic, six-step approach helps an organization perform effective forecasting.

1. Understand the objective of forecasting.
2. Integrate demand planning and forecasting throughout the supply chain.
3. Understand and identify customer segments.
4. Identify the major factors that influence the demand forecast.
5. Determine the appropriate forecasting technique.
6. Establish performance and error measures for the forecast.

UNDERSTAND THE OBJECTIVE OF FORECASTING

Every forecast supports decisions that are based on the forecast, so an important first step is to identify these decisions clearly. Examples of such decisions include how much of a particular product to make, how much to inventory, and how much to order. All parties affected by a supply chain decision should be aware of the link between the decision and the forecast. For example, Wal-Mart’s plans to discount detergent during the month of July must be shared with the manufacturer, the transporter, and others involved in filling demand, as they all must make decisions that are affected by the forecast of demand. All parties should come up with a common forecast for the promotion and a shared plan of action based on the forecast. Failure to make these decisions jointly may result in either too much or too little product in various stages of the supply chain.

INTEGRATE DEMAND PLANNING AND FORECASTING THROUGHOUT THE SUPPLY CHAIN

A company should link its forecast to all planning activities throughout the supply chain. These include capacity planning, production planning, promotion planning, and purchasing, among others. This link should exist at both the information system and the human resources management level. As a variety of functions are affected by the outcomes of the planning process, it is important that all of them are integrated into the forecasting process. In one unfortunately common scenario, a retailer develops forecasts based on promotional activities, whereas a manufacturer, unaware of these promotions, develops a different forecast for its production planning based on historical orders. This leads to a mismatch between supply and demand, resulting in poor customer service.

To accomplish this integration, it is a good idea for a firm to have a cross-functional team, with members from each affected function responsible for forecasting demand—and an even better idea is to have members of different companies in the supply chain working together to create a forecast.
UNDERSTAND AND IDENTIFY CUSTOMER SEGMENTS

A firm must identify the customer segments the supply chain serves. Customers may be grouped by similarities in service requirements, demand volumes, order frequency, demand volatility, seasonality, and so forth. In general, companies may use different forecasting methods for different segments. A clear understanding of the customer segments facilitates an accurate and simplified approach to forecasting.

IDENTIFY MAJOR FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE DEMAND FORECAST

Next, a firm must identify demand, supply, and product-related phenomena that influence the demand forecast. On the demand side, a company must ascertain whether demand is growing, declining, or has a seasonal pattern. These estimates must be based on demand—not sales data. For example, a supermarket promoted a certain brand of cereal in July 2005. As a result, the demand for this cereal was high while the demand for other, comparable cereal brands was low in July. The supermarket should not use the sales data from 2005 to estimate that demand for this brand will be high in July 2006, because this will occur only if the same brand is promoted again in July 2006 and other brands respond as they did the previous year. When making the demand forecast, the supermarket must understand what the demand would have been in the absence of promotion activity and how demand is affected by promotions and competitor actions. A combination of these pieces of information will allow the supermarket to forecast demand for July 2006 given the promotion activity planned for that year.

On the supply side, a company must consider the available supply sources to decide on the accuracy of the forecast desired. If alternate supply sources with short lead times are available, a highly accurate forecast may not be especially important. However, if only a single supplier with a long lead time is available, an accurate forecast will have great value.

On the product side, a firm must know the number of variants of a product being sold and whether these variants substitute for or complement each other. If demand for a product influences or is influenced by demand for another product, the two forecasts are best made jointly. For example, when a firm introduces an improved version of an existing product, it is likely that the demand for the existing product will decline because new customers will buy the improved version. Although the decline in demand for the original product is not indicated by historical data, the historical demand is still useful in that it allows the firm to estimate the combined total demand for the two versions. Clearly, demand for the two products should be forecast jointly.

DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE FORECASTING TECHNIQUE

In selecting an appropriate forecasting technique, a company should first understand the dimensions that are relevant to the forecast. These dimensions include geographic area, product groups, and customer groups. The company should understand the differences in demand along each dimension and will likely want different forecasts and techniques for each dimension. At this stage, a firm selects an appropriate forecasting method from among the four methods discussed earlier—qualitative, time-series, causal, or simulation. As mentioned earlier, using a combination of these methods is often most effective.

ESTABLISH PERFORMANCE AND ERROR MEASURES FOR THE FORECAST

Companies should establish clear performance measures to evaluate the accuracy and timeliness of the forecast. These measures should be highly correlated with the objectives.
of the business decisions based on these forecasts. For example, consider a mail-order company that uses a forecast to place orders with its suppliers up the supply chain. Suppliers take two months to send in the orders. The mail-order company must ensure that the forecast is created at least two months before the start of the sales season because of the two-month lead time for replenishment. At the end of the sales season, the company must compare actual demand to forecasted demand to estimate the accuracy of the forecast. Then plans for decreasing future forecast errors or responding to the observed forecast errors can be put into place.

In the next section, we discuss techniques for static and adaptive time-series forecasting.

7.5 TIME-SERIES FORECASTING METHODS

The goal of any forecasting method is to predict the systematic component of demand and estimate the random component. In its most general form, the systematic component of demand data contains a level, a trend, and a seasonal factor. The equation for calculating the systematic component may take a variety of forms, as shown following.

- **Multiplicative**: Systematic component = level × trend × seasonal factor
- **Additive**: Systematic component = level + trend + seasonal factor
- **Mixed**: Systematic component = (level + trend) × seasonal factor

The specific form of the systematic component applicable to a given forecast depends on the nature of demand. Companies may develop both static and adaptive forecasting methods for each form. We now describe these static and adaptive forecasting methods.

**STATIC METHODS**

A static method assumes that the estimates of level, trend, and seasonality within the systematic component do not vary as new demand is observed. In this case, we estimate each of these parameters based on historical data and then use the same values for all future forecasts. In this section we discuss a static forecasting method for use when demand has a trend as well as a seasonal component. We assume that the systematic component of demand is mixed, that is,

Systematic component = (level + trend) × seasonal factor

A similar approach can be applied for other forms as well. We begin with a few basic definitions:

- \( L \) = estimate of level at \( t = 0 \) (the deseasonalized demand estimate during Period \( t = 0 \))
- \( T \) = estimate of trend (increase or decrease in demand per period)
- \( S_i \) = estimate of seasonal factor for Period \( i \)
- \( D_i \) = actual demand observed in Period \( i \)
- \( F_i \) = forecast of demand for Period \( i \)

In a static forecasting method, the forecast in Period \( i \) for demand in Period \( i + l \) is given as

\[
F_{i+l} = [L + (t + l)T]S_{i+l} \quad (7.1)
\]

We now describe one method for estimating the three parameters \( L \), \( T \), and \( S \). As an example, consider the demand for rock salt used primarily to melt snow. This salt is
TABLE 7-1  Quarterly Demand for Tahoe Salt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Period, t</th>
<th>Demand, (D_t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

produced by a firm called Tahoe Salt, which sells its salt through a variety of independent retailers around the Lake Tahoe area of the Sierra Nevada Mountains. In the past, Tahoe Salt has relied on estimates of demand from a sample of its retailers, but the company has noticed that these retailers always overestimate their purchases, leaving Tahoe (and even some retailers) stuck with excess inventory. After meeting with its retailers, Tahoe has decided to produce a collaborative forecast. Tahoe Salt wants to work with the retailers to create a more accurate forecast based on the actual retail sales of their salt. Quarterly retail demand data for the last three years is shown in Table 7-1 and charted in Figure 7-1.

In Figure 7-1, observe that demand for salt is seasonal, increasing from the second quarter of a given year to the first quarter of the following year. The second quarter of each year has the lowest demand. Each cycle lasts four quarters, and the demand pattern repeats every year. There is also a growth trend in the demand, with sales growing over the last three years. The company estimates that growth will continue in the coming year at historical rates. We now describe how each of the three parameters—level, trend, and seasonal factors—may be estimated. The following two steps are necessary to making this estimation:

1. Deseasonalize demand and run linear regression to estimate level and trend.
2. Estimate seasonal factors.

FIGURE 7-1  Quarterly Demand at Tahoe Salt
### Estimating Level and Trend

The objective of this step is to estimate the level at Period 0 and the trend. We start by deseasonalizing the demand data. **Deseasonalized demand** represents the demand that would have been observed in the absence of seasonal fluctuations. The **periodicity** $p$ is the number of periods after which the seasonal cycle repeats. For Tahoe Salt's demand, the pattern repeats every year. Given that we are measuring demand on a quarterly basis, the periodicity for the demand in Table 7-1 is $p = 4$.

To ensure that each season is given equal weight when deseasonalizing demand, we take the average of $p$ consecutive periods of demand. The average of demand from Period $I + 1$ to Period $I + p$ provides deseasonalized demand for Period $I + (p + 1)/2$. If $p$ is odd, this method provides deseasonalized demand for an existing period. If $p$ is even, this method provides deseasonalized demand at a point between Period $I + (p/2)$ and $I + 1 + (p/2)$. By taking the average of deseasonalized demand provided by Periods $I + 1$ to $I + p$ and $I + 2$ to $I + p + 1$, we obtain the deseasonalized demand for Period $I + 1 + (p/2)$. This procedure for obtaining the deseasonalized demand, $\bar{D}_t$, for Period $t$, is formulated as follows:

$$\bar{D}_t = \begin{cases} 
\left[ \frac{D_{t-(p/2)} + D_{t+(p/2)} + \sum_{i=t-(p/2)}^{t+(p/2)} 2D_i}{2p} \right] & \text{for } p \text{ even} \\
\frac{\sum_{i=t-(p/2)}^{t+(p/2)} D_i}{2} & \text{for } p \text{ odd}
\end{cases} \quad (7.2)
$$

In our example, $p = 4$ is even. For $t = 3$, we obtain the deseasonalized demand using Equation 7.2 as follows:

$$\bar{D}_3 = \left[ \frac{D_{3-(4/2)} + D_{3+(4/2)} + \sum_{i=3-(4/2)}^{3+(4/2)} 2D_i}{2 \times 4} \right] = \left[ \frac{D_1 + D_5 + \sum_{i=2}^{4} 2D_i}{8} \right]$$

With this procedure we can obtain deseasonalized demand between Periods 3 and 10 as shown in Figure 7-2 and Figure 7-3.

#### FIGURE 7-2 Excel Workbook with Deseasonalized Demand for Tahoe Salt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Deseasonalized Demand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>19,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>20,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>21,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>21,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>22,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>22,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>24,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Cell Formula</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Copied to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>=((B2+B6+2*SUM(B3:B5))/8)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>C5:C11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 7-3 Deseasonalized Demand for Tahoe Salt

The following linear relationship exists between the deseasonalized demand, $\bar{D}_t$, and time $t$, based on the change in demand over time.

$$\bar{D}_t = L + Tt$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.3)

Note that in Equation 7.3, $\bar{D}_t$ represents deseasonalized demand and not the actual demand in Period $t$, $L$ represents the level or deseasonalized demand at Period 0, and $T$ represents the rate of growth of deseasonalized demand or trend. We can estimate the values of $L$ and $T$ for the deseasonalized demand using linear regression with deseasonalized demand (in Figure 7-2) as the dependent variable and time as the independent variable. Such a regression can be run using Microsoft Excel (Tools | Data Analysis | Regression). This sequence of commands opens the Regression dialog box in Excel. For the Tahoe Salt workbook in Figure 7-2, in the resulting dialog box we enter

Input Y Range: C4:C11
Input X Range: A4:A11

and click the OK button. A new sheet containing the results of the regression opens up. This new sheet contains estimates for both the initial level $L$ and the trend $T$. The initial level, $L$, is obtained as the intercept coefficient and the trend, $T$, is obtained as the $X$ variable coefficient (or the slope) from the sheet containing the regression results. For the Tahoe Salt example, we obtain $L = 18,439$ and $T = 524$. For this example, deseasonalized demand $\bar{D}_t$ for any Period $t$ is thus given by

$$\bar{D}_t = 18,439 + 524t$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.4)

Note that it is not appropriate to run a linear regression between the original demand data and time to estimate level and trend because the original demand data are not linear and the resulting linear regression will not be accurate. The demand must be deseasonalized before we run the linear regression.

**Estimating Seasonal Factors**

We can now obtain deseasonalized demand for each period using Equation 7.4. The seasonal factor $\bar{S}_t$ for Period $t$ is the ratio of actual demand $D_t$ to deseasonalized demand $\bar{D}_t$ and is given as

$$\bar{S}_t = \frac{D_t}{\bar{D}_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.5)

For the Tahoe Salt example, the deseasonalized demand estimated using Equation 7.4 and the seasonal factors estimated using Equation 7.5 are shown in Figure 7-4.
FIGURE 7-4  Desseasonalized Demand and Seasonal Factors for Tahoe Salt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Desseasonalized Demand</th>
<th>Seasonal Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>Cell Formula</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Copied to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>=18439+A2*524</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>C3:C13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>=B2/C2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>D3:D13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given the periodicity, \( p \), we obtain the seasonal factor for a given period by averaging seasonal factors that correspond to similar periods. For example, if we have a periodicity of \( p = 4 \), Periods 1, 5, and 9 have similar seasonal factors. The seasonal factor for these periods is obtained as the average of the three seasonal factors. Given \( r \) seasonal cycles in the data, for all periods of the form \( p \cdot i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq p \) we obtain the seasonal factor as

\[
S_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}S_{p+i}}{r} \quad (7.6)
\]

For the Tahoe Salt example, a total of 12 periods and a periodicity of \( p = 4 \) implies that there are \( r = 3 \) seasonal cycles in the data. We obtain seasonal factors using Equation 7.6 as

\[
S_1 = (S_1 + S_5 + S_9)/3 = (0.42 + 0.47 + 0.52)/3 = 0.47
\]
\[
S_2 = (S_2 + S_6 + S_{10})/3 = (0.67 + 0.83 + 0.55)/3 = 0.68
\]
\[
S_3 = (S_3 + S_7 + S_{11})/3 = (1.15 + 1.04 + 1.32)/3 = 1.17
\]
\[
S_4 = (S_4 + S_8 + S_{12})/3 = (1.66 + 1.68 + 1.66)/3 = 1.67
\]

At this stage, we have estimated the level, trend, and all seasonal factors. We can now obtain the forecast for the next four quarters using Equation 7.1. In the example, the forecast for the next four periods using the static forecasting method is given by

\[
F_{13} = (L + 13T)S_{13} = (18,439 + 13 \times 524)0.47 = 11,868
\]
\[
F_{14} = (L + 14T)S_{14} = (18,439 + 14 \times 524)0.68 = 17,527
\]
\[
F_{15} = (L + 15T)S_{15} = (18,439 + 15 \times 524)1.17 = 30,770
\]
\[
F_{16} = (L + 16T)S_{16} = (18,439 + 16 \times 524)1.67 = 44,794
\]

Tahoe Salt and its retailers now have a more accurate forecast of demand. Without the sharing of sell-through information between the retailers and the manufacturer, this supply chain would have a less accurate forecast and a variety of production and inventory inefficiencies would result.
ADAPTIVE FORECASTING

In adaptive forecasting, the estimates of level, trend, and seasonality are updated after each demand observation. We now discuss a basic framework and several methods that can be used for this type of forecast. The framework is provided in the most general setting, when the systematic component of demand data contains a level, a trend, and a seasonal factor. The framework we present is for the case in which the systematic component has the mixed form. It can, however, easily be modified for the other two cases. The framework can also be specialized for the case in which the systematic component contains no seasonality or trend. We assume that we have a set of historical data for \( n \) periods and that demand is seasonal with periodicity \( p \). Given quarterly data, where the pattern repeats itself every year, we have a periodicity of \( p = 4 \).

We begin by defining a few terms:

- \( L_t \) = estimate of level at the end of Period \( t \)
- \( T_t \) = estimate of trend at the end of Period \( t \)
- \( S_t \) = estimate of seasonal factor for Period \( t \)
- \( F_t \) = forecast of demand for Period \( t \) (made in Period \( t - 1 \) or earlier)
- \( D_t \) = actual demand observed in Period \( t \)
- \( E_t \) = forecast error in Period \( t \)

In adaptive methods, the forecast for Period \( t + 1 \) in Period \( t \) is given as

\[
F_{t+1} = (L_t + IT_t)S_{t+1}
\]

(7.7)

The four steps in the adaptive forecasting framework are as follows.

1. **Initialize**: Compute initial estimates of the level \( (L_0) \), trend \( (T_0) \), and seasonal factors \( (S_1, \ldots, S_p) \) from the given data. This is done exactly as in the static forecasting method discussed earlier in the chapter.

2. **Forecast**: Given the estimates in Period \( t \), forecast demand for Period \( t + 1 \) using Equation 7.7. Our first forecast is for Period 1 and is made with the estimates of level, trend, and seasonal factor at Period 0.

3. **Estimate error**: Record the actual demand \( D_{t+1} \) for Period \( t + 1 \) and compute the error \( E_{t+1} \) in the forecast for Period \( t + 1 \) as the difference between the forecast and the actual demand. The error for Period \( t + 1 \) is stated as

\[
E_{t+1} = F_{t+1} - D_{t+1}
\]

(7.8)

4. **Modify estimates**: Modify the estimates of level \( (L_{t+1}) \), trend \( (T_{t+1}) \), and seasonal factor \( (S_{t+1}) \) given the error \( E_{t+1} \) in the forecast. It is desirable that the modification be such that if the demand is lower than forecast, the estimates are revised downward, whereas if the demand is higher than forecast, the estimates are revised upward.

The revised estimates in Period \( t + 1 \) are then used to make a forecast for Period \( t + 2 \), and Steps 2, 3, and 4 are repeated until all historical data up to Period \( n \) have been covered. The estimates at Period \( n \) are then used to forecast future demand.

We now discuss various adaptive forecasting methods. The method that is most appropriate depends on the characteristic of demand and the composition of the systematic component of demand. In each case we assume the period under consideration to be \( t \).

**Moving Average**

The moving-average method is used when demand has no observable trend or seasonality. In this case,

Systematic component of demand = level
In this method, the level in Period $t$ is estimated as the average demand over the most recent $N$ periods. This represents an $N$-period moving average and is evaluated as follows:

$$L_t = \frac{(D_t + D_{t-1} + \ldots + D_{t-N+1})}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.9)

The current forecast for all future periods is the same and is based on the current estimate of level. The forecast is stated as

$$F_{t+1} = L_t \quad \text{and} \quad F_{t+n} = L_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.10)

After observing the demand for Period $t+1$, we revise the estimates as follows:

$$L_{t+1} = \frac{(D_{t+1} + D_t + \ldots + D_{t-N+2})}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{t+2} = L_{t+1}$$

To compute the new moving average, we simply add the latest observation and drop the oldest one. The revised moving average serves as the next forecast. The moving average corresponds to giving the last $N$ periods of data equal weight when forecasting and ignoring all data older than this new moving average. As we increase $N$, the moving average becomes less responsive to the most recently observed demand. We illustrate the use of the moving average in Example 7-1.

**Example 7-1** A supermarket has experienced weekly demand of milk of 120, 127, 114, and 122 gallons over the last four weeks. Forecast demand for Period 5 using a four-period moving average. What is the forecast error if demand in Period 5 turns out to be 125 gallons?

**Analysis:** We make the forecast for Period 5 at the end of Period 4. Thus, assume the current period to be $t = 4$. Our first objective is to estimate the level in Period 4. Using Equation 7.9, with $N = 4$, we obtain

$$L_4 = \frac{(D_4 + D_3 + D_2 + D_1)}{4} = \frac{(120 + 127 + 114 + 122)}{4} = 120.75$$

The forecast of demand for Period 5, using Equation 7.10, is expressed as

$$F_5 = L_4 = 120.75 \text{ gallons}$$

As demand in Period 5, $D_5$, is 125 gallons, we have a forecast error for Period 5 of

$$E_5 = F_5 - D_5 = 125 - 120.75 = 4.25$$

After observing demand in Period 5, the revised estimate of level for Period 5 is given by

$$L_5 = \frac{(D_5 + D_4 + D_3 + D_2)}{4} = \frac{(127 + 114 + 122 + 125)}{4} = 122$$

**Simple Exponential Smoothing**

The simple exponential smoothing method is appropriate when demand has no observable trend or seasonality. In this case,

Systematic component of demand = level

The initial estimate of level, $L_{0t}$, is taken to be the average of all historical data because demand has been assumed to have no observable trend or seasonality. Given demand data for Periods $1$ through $n$, we have the following:

$$L_{0t} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.11)

The current forecast for all future periods is equal to the current estimate of level and is given as

$$F_{t+1} = L_t \quad \text{and} \quad F_{t+n} = L_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.12)
After observing the demand, $D_{t+1}$, for Period $t + 1$, we revise the estimate of the level as follows:

$$L_{t+1} = \alpha D_{t+1} + (1 - \alpha)L_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.13)

where $\alpha$ is a smoothing constant for the level, $0 < \alpha < 1$. The revised value of the level is a weighted average of the observed value of the level ($D_{t+1}$) in Period $t + 1$ and the old estimate of the level ($L_t$) in Period $t$. Using Equation 7.13, we can express the level in a given period as a function of the current demand and the level in the previous period. We can thus rewrite Equation 7.13 as

$$L_{t+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} \alpha(1 - \alpha)^nD_{t+1-n} + (1 - \alpha)^tD_1$$

The current estimate of the level is a weighted average of all of the past observations of demand, with recent observations weighted higher than older observations. A higher value of $\alpha$ corresponds to a forecast that is more responsive to recent observations, whereas a lower value of $\alpha$ represents a more stable forecast that is less responsive to recent observations. We illustrate the use of exponential smoothing in Example 7-2.

**Example 7-2** Consider the supermarket in Example 7-1, where weekly demand for milk has been 120, 127, 114, and 122 gallons over the last four weeks. Forecast demand for Period 1 using simple exponential smoothing with $\alpha = 0.1$.

**Analysis:** In this case we have demand data for $n = 4$ periods. Using Equation 7.11, the initial estimate of level is expressed by

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{4}D_i = 120.75$$

The forecast for Period 1 (using Equation 7.1) is thus given by

$$F_1 = L_0 = 120.75$$

The observed demand for Period 1 is $D_1 = 120$. The forecast error for Period 1 is given by

$$E_1 = F_1 - D_1 = 120.75 - 120 = 0.75$$

With $\alpha = 0.1$, the revised estimate of level for Period 1 using Equation 7.13 is given by

$$L_1 = \alpha D_1 + (1 - \alpha)L_0 = 0.1 \times 120 + 0.9 \times 120.75 = 120.68$$

Observe that the estimate of level for Period 1 is lower than for Period 0 because the demand in Period 1 is lower than the forecast for Period 1. Continuing in this manner, we obtain $F_2 = 121.31$, $F_3 = 120.58$, and $F_4 = 120.72$. Thus, the forecast for period 5 is 120.72.

**Trend-Corrected Exponential Smoothing (Holt’s Model)**

The trend-corrected exponential smoothing (Holt’s model) method is appropriate when demand is assumed to have a level and a trend in the systematic component but no seasonality. In this case, we have

Systematic component of demand = level + trend

We obtain an initial estimate of level and trend by running a linear regression between demand $D_t$ and time Period $t$ of the form

$$D_t = at + b$$

In this case, running a linear regression between demand and time periods is appropriate because we have assumed that demand has a trend but no seasonality. The underlying relationship between demand and time is thus linear. The constant $b$
measures the estimate of demand at Period $t = 0$ and is our estimate of the initial level $L_0$. The slope $a$ measures the rate of change in demand per period and is our initial estimate of the trend $T_0$.

In Period $t$, given estimates of level $L_t$ and trend $T_t$, the forecast for future periods is expressed as

$$F_{t+1} = L_t + T_t \quad \text{and} \quad F_{t+n} = L_t + nT_t \quad (7.14)$$

After observing demand for Period $t$, we revise the estimates for level and trend as follows:

$$L_{t+1} = \alpha D_{t+1} + (1 - \alpha)(L_t + T_t) \quad (7.15)$$
$$T_{t+1} = \beta(L_{t+1} - L_t) + (1 - \beta)T_t \quad (7.16)$$

where $\alpha$ is a smoothing constant for the level, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\beta$ is a smoothing constant for the trend, $0 < \beta < 1$. Observe that in each of the two updates, the revised estimate (of level or trend) is a weighted average of the observed value and the old estimate. We illustrate the use of Holt’s model in Example 7-3.

**Example 7-3** An electronics manufacturer has seen demand for its latest MP3 player increase over the last six months. Observed demand (in thousands) has been 8,415, 8,732, 9,014, 9,808, 10,413, and 11,961. Forecast demand for Period 7 using trend-corrected exponential smoothing with $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.2$.

**Analysis:** The first step is to obtain initial estimates of level and trend using linear regression. We first run a linear regression (using the Excel tool Regression Tools Data Analysis Regression) between demand and time periods. The estimate of initial level $L_0$ is obtained as the intercept coefficient and the trend $T_0$ is obtained as the $X$ variable coefficient (or the slope). For the MP3 player data, we obtain

$$L_0 = 7,367 \quad \text{and} \quad T_0 = 673$$

The forecast for Period 1 (using Equation 7.14) is thus given by

$$F_1 = L_0 + T_0 = 7,367 + 673 = 8,040$$

The observed demand for Period 1 is $D_1 = 8,415$. The error for Period 1 is thus given by

$$E_1 = F_1 - D_1 = 8,040 - 8,415 = -375$$

With $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.2$, the revised estimate of level and trend for Period 1 using Equations 7.15 and 7.16 is given by

$$L_1 = \alpha D_1 + (1 - \alpha)(L_0 + T_0) = 0.1 \times 8,415 + 0.9 \times 8,040 = 8,078$$
$$T_1 = \beta(L_1 - L_0) + (1 - \beta)T_0 = 0.2 \times (8,078 - 7,367) + 0.8 \times 673 = 681$$

Observe that the initial estimate for demand in Period 1 is too high. As a result, our updates have increased the estimate of level for Period 1 from 8,040 to 8,078 and the estimate of trend from 673 to 681. Using Equation 7.14, we thus obtain the following forecast for Period 2:

$$F_2 = L_1 + T_1 = 8,078 + 681 = 8,759$$

Continuing in this manner, we obtain $L_2 = 8,755$, $T_2 = 680$, $L_3 = 9,393$, $T_3 = 672$, $L_4 = 10,039$, $T_4 = 666$, $L_5 = 10,676$, $T_5 = 661$, $L_6 = 11,399$, $T_6 = 673$. This gives us a forecast for period 7 of

$$F_7 = L_6 + T_6 = 11,399 + 673 = 12,072$$

**Trend- and Seasonality-Corrected Exponential Smoothing (Winter's Model)**

This method is appropriate when the systematic component of demand has a level, a trend, and a seasonal factor. In this case we have

Systematic component of demand = (level + trend) × seasonal factor
Assume periodicity of demand to be $p$. To begin, we need initial estimates of level ($L_0$), trend ($T_0$), and seasonal factors ($S_1, \ldots, S_p$). We obtain these estimates using the procedure for static forecasting described earlier in the chapter.

In Period $t$, given estimates of level, $L_t$, trend, $T_t$, and seasonal factors, $S_1, \ldots, S_{t+p-1}$, the forecast for future periods is given by

$$F_{t+1} = (L_t + T_t)S_{t+1} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{t+t} = (L_t + T_t)S_{t+t} \quad (7.17)$$

On observing demand for Period $t+1$ we revise the estimates for level, trend, and seasonal factors as follows:

$$L_{t+1} = \alpha(D_{t+1}/S_{t+1}) + (1 - \alpha)(L_t + T_t) \quad (7.18)$$
$$T_{t+1} = \beta(L_{t+1} - L_t) + (1 - \beta)T_t \quad (7.19)$$
$$S_{t+p+1} = \gamma(D_{t+1}/L_{t+1}) + (1 - \gamma)S_{t+1} \quad (7.20)$$

where $\alpha$ is a smoothing constant for the level, $0 < \alpha < 1$; $\beta$ is a smoothing constant for the trend, $0 < \beta < 1$; and $\gamma$ is a smoothing constant for the seasonal factor, $0 < \gamma < 1$.

Observe that in each of the updates (level, trend, or seasonal factor), the revised estimate is a weighted average of the observed value and the old estimate. We illustrate the use of Winter’s model in Example 7-4.

**Example 7-4** Consider the Tahoe Salt demand data in Table 7-1. Forecast demand for Period 1 using trend- and seasonality-corrected exponential smoothing with $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.2$, $\gamma = 0.1$.

**Analysis:** We obtain the initial estimates of level, trend, and seasonal factors exactly as in the static case. They are expressed as follows:

$L_0 = 18,439 \quad T_0 = 524 \quad S_1 = 0.47 \quad S_2 = 0.68 \quad S_3 = 1.17 \quad S_4 = 1.67$

The forecast for Period 1 (using Equation 7.17) is thus given by

$$F_1 = (L_0 + T_0)S_1 = (18,439 + 524)0.47 = 8,913$$

The observed demand for Period 1 is $D_1 = 8,000$. The forecast error for Period 1 is thus given by

$$E_1 = F_1 - D_1 = 8,913 - 8,000 = 913$$

With $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.2$, $\gamma = 0.1$, the revised estimate of level and trend for Period 5, using Equations 7.18, 7.19, and 7.20, is given by

$L_1 = \alpha(D_1/S_1) + (1 - \alpha)(L_0 + T_0) = 0.1 \times (8,000/0.47) + 0.9 \times (18,439 + 524) = 18,769$
$$T_1 = \beta(L_1 - L_0) + (1 - \beta)T_0 = 0.2 \times (18,769 - 18,439) + 0.8 \times 524 = 485$$
$$S_5 = \gamma(D_1/L_1) + (1 - \gamma)S_1 = 0.1(8,000/18,769) + 0.9 \times 0.47 = 0.47$$

The forecast of demand for Period 2 (using Equation 7.17) is thus given by

$$F_2 = (L_1 + T_1)S_2 = (18,769 + 485)0.68 = 13,093$$

The forecasting methods we have discussed and the situations in which they are generally applicable are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecasting Method</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moving average</td>
<td>No trend or seasonality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple exponential smoothing</td>
<td>No trend or seasonality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt’s model</td>
<td>Trend but no seasonality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter’s model</td>
<td>Trend and seasonality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If Tahoe Salt uses an adaptive forecasting method for the sell-through data obtained from its retailers, Winter’s model is the best choice, because its demand experiences both a trend and seasonality.
If we do not know that Tahoe Salt experiences both trend and seasonality, how can we find out? Forecast error helps identify instances in which the forecasting method being used is inappropriate. In the next section, we describe how a manager can estimate and use forecast error.

7.6 MEASURES OF FORECAST ERROR

As mentioned earlier, every instance of demand has a random component. A good forecasting method should capture the systematic component of demand but not the random component. The random component manifests itself in the form of a forecast error. Forecast errors contain valuable information and must be analyzed carefully for two reasons:

1. Managers use error analysis to determine whether the current forecasting method is predicting the systematic component of demand accurately. For example, if a forecasting method consistently produces a positive error, the forecasting method is overestimating the systematic component and should be corrected.
2. All contingency plans must account for forecast error. For example, consider a mail-order company with two suppliers. The first is in the Far East and has a lead time of two months. The second is local and can fill orders with one week’s notice. The local supplier is more expensive, whereas the Far East supplier costs less. The mail-order company wants to contract a certain amount of contingency capacity with the local supplier to be used if the demand exceeds the quantity the Far East supplier provides. The decision regarding the quantity of local capacity to contract is closely linked to the size of the forecast error.

As long as observed errors are within historical error estimates, firms can continue to use their current forecasting method. Finding an error that is well beyond historical estimates may indicate that the forecasting method in use is no longer appropriate. If all of a firm’s forecasts tend to consistently over- or underestimate demand, this may be another signal that the firm should change its forecasting method.

As defined earlier, forecast error for Period $t$ is given by $E_t$, where the following holds:

$$E_t = F_t - D_t$$

That is, the error in Period $t$ is the difference between the forecast for Period $t$ and the actual demand in Period $t$. It is important that a manager estimate the error of a forecast made at least as far in advance as the lead time required for the manager to take whatever action the forecast is to be used for. For example, if a forecast will be used to determine an order size and the supplier’s lead time is six months, a manager should estimate the error for a forecast made six months before demand arises. In a situation with a six-month lead time, there is no point in estimating errors for a forecast made one month in advance.

One measure of forecast error is the mean squared error (MSE), where the following holds:

$$MSE_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} E_t^2$$

(7.21)

The MSE can be related to the variance of the forecast error. In effect, we estimate that the random component of demand has a mean of 0 and a variance of MSE.
Define the absolute deviation in Period \( t \), \( A_t \), to be the absolute value of the error in Period \( t \); that is,
\[
A_t = |E_t|
\]

Define the mean absolute deviation (MAD) to be the average of the absolute deviation over all periods, as expressed by
\[
MAD_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i
\]

(7.22)

The MAD can be used to estimate the standard deviation of the random component assuming that the random component is normally distributed. In this case the standard deviation of the random component is
\[
\sigma = 1.25 \times MAD
\]

(7.23)

We then estimate that the mean of the random component is 0 and the standard deviation of the random component of demand is \( \sigma \).

The \textit{Mean Absolute Percentage Error} (MAPE) is the average absolute error as a percentage of demand and is given by
\[
MAPE_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{E_i}{D_i} \right|}{100}
\]

(7.24)

To determine whether a forecast method consistently over- or underestimates demand, we can use the sum of forecast errors to evaluate the bias, where the following holds:
\[
Bias_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i
\]

(7.25)

The bias will fluctuate around 0 if the error is truly random and not biased one way or the other. Ideally, if we plot all the errors, the slope of the best straight line passing through should be 0.

The \textit{tracking signal} (TS) is the ratio of the bias and the MAD and is given as
\[
TS_t = \frac{bias_t}{MAD_t}
\]

(7.26)

If the TS at any period is outside the range \( \pm 6 \), this is a signal that the forecast is biased and is either underforecasting (\( TS < -6 \)) or overforecasting (\( TS > +6 \)). In this case, a firm may decide to choose a new forecasting method. One instance in which a large negative TS will result is when demand has a growth trend and the manager is using a forecasting method such as moving average. Because trend is not included, the average of historical demand is always lower than future demand. The negative TS detects that the forecasting method consistently underestimates demand and alerts the manager.

7.7 FORECASTING DEMAND AT TAHOE SALT

Recall the Tahoe Salt example earlier in the chapter with the historical sell-through demand from its retailers shown in Table 7-1. The demand data are also shown in column B of Figure 7-5. Tahoe Salt is currently negotiating contracts with suppliers for the four quarters between the second quarter of year 4 and the first quarter of year 5. An important input into this negotiation is the forecast of demand that Tahoe Salt and its
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Forecast</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>Absolute Error</td>
<td>Squared Error</td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>MAD</td>
<td>% Error</td>
<td>MAPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>90,250,000</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>9,250</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>90,250,000</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>21,250</td>
<td>21,250</td>
<td>21,250</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>94,460,750</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>10,250</td>
<td>96,587,500</td>
<td>8,050</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>21,250</td>
<td>21,250</td>
<td>21,250</td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>96,587,500</td>
<td>8,050</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>9,250</td>
<td>9,250</td>
<td>9,250</td>
<td>90,250,000</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>10,250</td>
<td>10,250</td>
<td>10,250</td>
<td>10,250</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>94,460,750</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>96,587,500</td>
<td>8,050</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>96,587,500</td>
<td>8,050</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>96,587,500</td>
<td>8,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>96,587,500</td>
<td>8,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>32,250</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>96,587,500</td>
<td>8,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As indicated by column K in Figure 7-5, the TS is well within the ± 6 range, which indicates that the forecast using the four-period moving average does not contain any
significant bias. It does, however, have a fairly large MAD of 9.719, and a MAPE of 49 percent. From Figure 7-5, observe that

\[ L_{12} = 24,500 \]

Thus, using a four-period moving average, the forecast for Periods 13 through 16 (using Equation 7.10) is given by

\[ F_{13} = F_{14} = F_{15} = F_{16} = L_{12} = 24,500 \]

Given that the MAD is 9.719, the estimate of standard deviation of forecast error, using a four-period moving average, is \( 1.25 \times 9.719 = 12.148 \). In this case, the standard deviation of forecast error is fairly large relative to the size of the forecast.

**SIMPLE EXPONENTIAL SMOOTHING**

The forecasting team next uses a simple exponential smoothing approach with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) to forecast demand. This method is also tested on the 12 quarters of historical data. Using Equation 7.11, the team estimates the initial level for Period 0 to be the average demand for Periods 1 through 12. The initial level is the average of the demand entries in cells B2 to B14 in Figure 7-6 and results in

\[ L_0 = 22,083 \]

The team then uses Equation 7.12 to forecast demand for the succeeding period. The estimate of level is updated each period using Equation 7.13. The results are shown in Figure 7-6.

As indicated by the TS, which ranges from -1.38 to 2.25, the forecast using simple exponential smoothing with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) does not indicate any significant bias. However, it has a fairly large MAD of 10,208, and a MAPE of 59 percent. From Figure 7-6, observe that

\[ L_{12} = 23,490 \]

Thus, the forecast for the next four quarters (using Equation 7.12) is given by

\[ F_{13} = F_{14} = F_{15} = F_{16} = L_{12} = 23,490 \]

In this case, MAD\(_{12}\) is 10,208 and MAPE\(_{12}\) is 59 percent. Thus, the estimate of standard deviation of forecast error using simple exponential smoothing is \( 1.25 \times 10,208 = 12,761 \). In this case, the standard deviation of forecast error is fairly large relative to the size of the forecast.

**TREND-CORRECTED EXPONENTIAL SMOOTHING (HOLT’S MODEL)**

The team next investigates the use of Holt’s model. In this case the systematic component of demand is given by

Systematic component of demand = level + trend

The team applies the methodology discussed earlier. As a first step, they estimate the level at Period 0 and the initial trend. As described in Example 7-3, this estimate is obtained by running a linear regression between demand, \( D_t \), and time, Period \( t \). From the regression of the available data, the team obtains the following:

\[ L_0 = 12,015 \quad \text{and} \quad T_0 = 1,549 \]

The team now applies Holt’s model with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( \beta = 0.2 \) to obtain the forecasts for each of the 12 quarters for which demand data are available. They make the forecast using Equation 7.14, they update the level using Equation 7.15, and they update the trend using Equation 7.16. The results are shown in Figure 7-7.
FIGURE 7-6 Tahoe Salt Forecasts Using Simple Exponential Smoothing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Error MS</th>
<th>Mean Squared</th>
<th>MAD</th>
<th>% Error</th>
<th>MAPE</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22,083</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22,083</td>
<td>14,083</td>
<td>14,083</td>
<td>196,340,278</td>
<td>14,083</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20,675</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,675</td>
<td>7,675</td>
<td>7,675</td>
<td>56,422,963</td>
<td>10,679</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19,908</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19,908</td>
<td>-3,693</td>
<td>3,693</td>
<td>69,986,406</td>
<td>9,264</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20,217</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,217</td>
<td>-13,793</td>
<td>13,793</td>
<td>144,299,860</td>
<td>9,679</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21,586</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21,586</td>
<td>11,561</td>
<td>11,561</td>
<td>119,746,664</td>
<td>10,046</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20,436</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,436</td>
<td>2,436</td>
<td>2,436</td>
<td>99,507,532</td>
<td>7,777</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20,192</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,192</td>
<td>-2,808</td>
<td>2,808</td>
<td>86,435,714</td>
<td>7,925</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20,473</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,473</td>
<td>-17,527</td>
<td>17,527</td>
<td>114,051,650</td>
<td>9,125</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20,436</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,436</td>
<td>2,436</td>
<td>2,436</td>
<td>99,507,532</td>
<td>7,777</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20,192</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,192</td>
<td>-2,808</td>
<td>2,808</td>
<td>86,435,714</td>
<td>7,925</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20,473</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,473</td>
<td>-17,527</td>
<td>17,527</td>
<td>114,051,650</td>
<td>9,125</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20,436</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,436</td>
<td>2,436</td>
<td>2,436</td>
<td>99,507,532</td>
<td>7,777</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>20,192</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,192</td>
<td>-2,808</td>
<td>2,808</td>
<td>86,435,714</td>
<td>7,925</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result of rounding, calculations done with only significant digits shown in the text may yield a different result. This is the case throughout the book.

As indicated by a TS that ranges from -1.90 to 2.00, trend-corrected exponential smoothing with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( \beta = 0.2 \) does not seem to significantly over- or underforecast. However, the forecast has a fairly large MAD of 8,836, and a MAPE of 52 percent. From Figure 7-7, observe that

\[
L_{12} = 30,443 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{12} = 1,541
\]

Thus, using Holt’s model (Equation 7.14), the forecast for the next four periods is given by the following:

\[
F_{13} = L_{12} + T_{12} = 30,443 + 1,541 = 31,984 \\
F_{14} = L_{12} + 2T_{12} = 30,443 + 2 \times 1,541 = 33,525 \\
F_{15} = L_{12} + 3T_{12} = 30,443 + 3 \times 1,541 = 35,066 \\
F_{16} = L_{12} + 4T_{12} = 30,443 + 4 \times 1,541 = 36,607
\]

1 As a result of rounding, calculations done with only significant digits shown in the text may yield a different result. This is the case throughout the book.
### FIGURE 7-7 Trend-Corrected Exponential Smoothing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Absolute Error</th>
<th>Mean Squared Error</th>
<th>MAD</th>
<th>% Error</th>
<th>MAPE</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,015</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>14,469</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>16,557</td>
<td>7,15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>16,469</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>15,725</td>
<td>7,290</td>
<td>7,290</td>
<td>26,732,518</td>
<td>4,767</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>16,469</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>16,787</td>
<td>7,290</td>
<td>7,290</td>
<td>26,732,518</td>
<td>4,767</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>19,984</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>19,162</td>
<td>1,822</td>
<td>1,822</td>
<td>38,000,000</td>
<td>3,505</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>21,484</td>
<td>1,484</td>
<td>23,257</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>23,984</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>27,675</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>26,484</td>
<td>1,484</td>
<td>31,257</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>28,984</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>34,875</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>31,484</td>
<td>1,484</td>
<td>38,475</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>33,984</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>42,075</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>36,484</td>
<td>1,484</td>
<td>45,675</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>38,984</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>49,275</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>2,573</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, MAD = 8,836. Thus the estimate of standard deviation of forecast error using Holt's model with $\alpha = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.2$ is $1.25 \times 8,836 = 11,045$. In this case, the standard deviation of forecast error relative to the size of the forecast is somewhat smaller than it was with the previous two methods. However, it is still fairly large.

### TREND- AND SEASONALITY-CORRECTED EXPONENTIAL SMOOTHING (WINTER'S MODEL)

The team next investigates the use of Winter's model to make the forecast. As a first step, they estimate the level and trend for Period 0, and seasonal factors for Periods 1 through $p = 4$. To start, they deseasonalize the demand. Then, they estimate initial level and trend by running a regression between deseasonalized demand and time. This
FIGURE 7-8  Trend- and Seasonality-Corrected Exponential Smoothing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>Seasonal Factor</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Absolute Error</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>Mean Squared Error</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>Error MSE</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Error MAPE</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>TS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,439</td>
<td>524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>1,382</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>37,500</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37,500</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

information is used to estimate the seasonal factors. For the demand data in Figure 7-2, as discussed in Example 7-4, the team obtains the following:

L11 = 18,439  T0 = 524  S1 = 0.47  S2 = 0.68  S3 = 1.17  S4 = 1.67

They then apply Winter’s model with α = 0.05, β = 0.1, γ = 0.1 to obtain the forecasts. All calculations are shown in Figure 7-8. The team makes forecasts using Equation 7.17, they update the level using Equation 7.18, they update the trend using Equation 7.19, and they update seasonal factors using Equation 7.20.

In this case the MAD of 1.469 and MAPE of 8 percent are significantly lower than with any of the other methods. From Figure 7-8, observe that

L12 = 24,791  T12 = 532  S12 = 0.47  S14 = 0.68
S15 = 1.17  S16 = 1.67
TABLE 7-2  Error Estimates for Tahoe Salt Forecasting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecasting Method</th>
<th>MAD</th>
<th>MAPE (%)</th>
<th>TS Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four-period moving average</td>
<td>9,719</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-1.52 to 2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple exponential smoothing</td>
<td>10,208</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-1.38 to 2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt’s model</td>
<td>8,836</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-2.15 to 1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter’s model</td>
<td>1,469</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-2.74 to 4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using Winter’s model (Equation 7.17), the forecast for the next four periods is

\[ F_{13} = (L_{12} + T_{12})S_{13} = (24,791 + 532) \times 0.47 = 11,940 \]
\[ F_{14} = (L_{12} + 2T_{12})S_{14} = (24,791 + 2 \times 532) \times 0.68 = 17,579 \]
\[ F_{15} = (L_{12} + 3T_{12})S_{15} = (24,791 + 3 \times 532) \times 1.17 = 30,930 \]
\[ F_{16} = (L_{12} + 4T_{12})S_{16} = (24,791 + 4 \times 532) \times 1.67 = 44,928 \]

In this case, \( \text{MAD} = 1,469 \). Thus the estimate of standard deviation of forecast error using Winter’s model with \( \alpha = 0.05, \beta = 0.1, \text{and } \gamma = 0.1 \) is \( 1.25 \times 1,469 = 1,836 \). In this case, the standard deviation of forecast error relative to the demand forecast is much smaller than with the other methods.

The team compiles the error estimates for the four forecasting methods as shown in Table 7-2.

Based on the error information in Table 7-2, the forecasting team decides to use Winter’s model. It is not surprising that Winter’s model results in the most accurate forecast, because the demand data have both a growth trend as well as seasonality. Using Winter’s model, the team forecasts the following demand for the coming four quarters:

- Second Quarter, year 4: 11,940
- Third Quarter, year 4: 17,579
- Fourth Quarter, year 4: 30,930
- First Quarter, year 5: 44,928

The standard deviation of forecast error is 1,836.

7.8 THE ROLE OF IT IN FORECASTING

There is a natural role for IT in forecasting, given the large amount of data involved, the frequency with which forecasting is performed, and the importance of getting the highest-quality results possible. The forecasting module within a supply chain IT system, often called the demand planning module, is a core supply chain software product. There are several important advantages to utilizing the capabilities of IT in forecasting.

Commercial demand planning modules come with a variety of forecasting algorithms, which can be quite advanced and are sometimes proprietary. These methodologies often give a more accurate forecast than what can be produced through the use of a general package such as Excel. Most demand planning applications make it fairly easy to test the various forecasting algorithms against historical data to determine the one that provides the best fit to the observed demand patterns. The availability of a variety of forecasting options is important because different forecasting algorithms provide different levels of quality depending on the actual demand patterns. The IT system can thus be used to best determine forecasting methods not just for the firm overall, but also by product categories and markets.
A good forecasting package provides forecasts across a wide range of products that are updated in real time by incorporating any new demand information. This helps firms respond quickly to changes in the marketplace and avoid the costs of a delayed reaction. Good demand planning modules link not only to customer orders but often directly to customer sales information as well, thus incorporating the most current data into the demand forecast. Much of the progress in areas such as collaborative planning is due to IT innovations that allow the exchange and incorporation of forecasts between enterprises.

Finally, as the name demand planning suggests, these modules facilitate the shaping of demand. Good demand planning modules contain tools to perform what-if analysis regarding the impact of potential changes in prices on demand. These tools help analyze the impact of promotions on demand and can be used to determine the extent and timing of promotions.

Keep in mind that none of these tools is foolproof. Forecasts are virtually always wrong. A good IT system should help track historical forecast errors so they can be incorporated into future decisions. A well-structured forecast, along with a measure of error, can significantly improve decision making. Even with all these sophisticated tools, sometimes it is better to rely on human intuition in forecasting. One of the pitfalls of these IT tools is relying on them too much, which eliminates the human element in forecasting. Use the forecasts and the value they deliver, but remember that they cannot assess some of the more qualitative aspects about future demand that you may be able to do on your own.

Forecasting modules are available from all the major supply chain software companies, including the ERP firms such as SAP and Oracle, as well as the best of breed supply chain players such as i2 Technologies and Manugistics. There are also a number of statistical analysis software firms, such as SAS, whose programs can be used for forecasting. Finally, some of the CRM-focused firms have elements of forecasting in their products, given their focus on customer facing processes.

Forecasting and IT have a long history. The forecasting module is one of three core products around which the entire supply chain software industry grew. The classic supply chain IT package has a forecasting module feeding forecasts to a planning module. The module sets schedules and inventory levels, which are then fed to an execution system that actually executes these plans. Thus, forecasting is a core part of IT in the supply chain.

### 7.9 Risk Management in Forecasting

The risks associated with forecast error must be considered when planning for the future. Errors in forecasting can cause significant misallocation of resources in inventory, facilities, transportation, sourcing, pricing, and even in information management. Forecast errors during network design may cause too many, too few, or the wrong type of facilities to be built. At the planning level, plans are determined from forecasts so the actual inventory, production, transportation, sourcing, and pricing plans that a company produces and follows depend on accurate forecasting. Even on an operational level, forecasting plays a role in the actual day-to-day activities that are executed within a company. As one of the initial processes in each of these phases that affects many other processes, forecasting contains a significant amount of inherent risk.

A wide range of factors can cause a forecast to be wrong, but a few occur so often that they deserve specific mention. Long lead times require forecasts to be
made further in advance, thus decreasing the reliability of the forecast. Seasonality also tends to increase forecast error. Forecast errors increase when product life cycles are short, because there are few historical data to build on when producing a forecast. Firms with a few customers often experience very lumpy demand that is harder to forecast than demand from many small customers, which tends to be smoother. Forecast quality suffers when it is based on orders placed by intermediaries in a supply chain rather than on end customer demand. This was particularly evident in the telecommunications sector in 2001, when manufacturer forecasts exceeded customer demand by a large amount. Without a view of end customer demand, a firm always has difficulty producing reliable forecasts.

Two strategies used to mitigate forecast risk are increasing the responsiveness of the supply chain and utilizing opportunities for pooling of demand. W.W. Grainger has worked with suppliers to decrease lead times from eight weeks to less than three weeks. Increased responsiveness allows the firm to reduce forecasting errors and thus decrease the associated risk. Pooling, which we discuss in Chapter 11, attempts to smooth out lumpy demand by bringing together multiple sources of demand. Thus, Amazon has a lower forecast error than Borders because it pools geographic demand into its warehouses.

Improved responsiveness and pooling often come at a cost. Increased speed may require capacity investment, whereas pooling tends to increase transportation cost. To achieve the right balance between risk mitigation and cost, it is important to tailor the mitigation strategies. For instance, when dealing with a commodity for which shortfalls can easily be made up for by spot market purchases, spending large amounts to increase the responsiveness of the supply chain is not warranted. In contrast, for a product with a short life cycle, investing in responsiveness may be worth the cost. Similarly, the benefit from pooling is likely to be large only when the underlying forecast error is high. An investment in pooling efforts may not be justified for products with small forecast errors.

7.10 FORECASTING IN PRACTICE

Collaborate in building forecasts. Collaboration with your supply chain partners can often create a much more accurate forecast. It takes an investment of time and effort to build the relationships with your partners to begin sharing information and creating collaborative forecasts. However, the supply chain benefits of collaboration are often an order of magnitude greater than the cost. The reality today, however, is that most forecasts do not even account for all the information available across the different functions of a firm. Progress needs to be made before all supply chain information is accounted for and utilized.

Share only the data that truly provide value. The value of data depends on where one sits in the supply chain. For instance, a retailer finds point-of-sale data to be quite valuable in measuring the performance of its stores. However, a manufacturer selling to a distributor who in turn sells to retailers does not need all the point-of-sale detail. The manufacturer finds aggregate demand data to be quite valuable, with marginally more value coming from detailed point-of-sale data. Keeping the data shared to what is truly required decreases investment in IT and improves the chances of successful collaboration.

Be sure to distinguish between demand and sales. Often, companies make the mistake of looking at historical sales and assuming that this is what the historical demand was. To
get true demand, adjustments need to be made for unmet demand due to stockouts, competitor actions, pricing, and promotions. Failure to do so results in forecasts that do not represent the current reality.

7.11 SUMMARY OF LEARNING OBJECTIVES

1. Understand the role of forecasting for both an enterprise and a supply chain.
   
   Forecasting is a key driver of virtually every design and planning decision made in both an enterprise and a supply chain. Enterprises have always forecasted demand and used it to make decisions. A relatively recent phenomenon, however, is to create collaborative forecasts for an entire supply chain and use this as the basis for decisions. Collaborative forecasting greatly increases the accuracy of forecasts and allows the supply chain to maximize its performance. Without collaboration, supply chain stages farther from demand will likely have poor forecasts that will lead to supply chain inefficiencies and a lack of responsiveness.

2. Identify the components of a demand forecast.
   
   Demand consists of a systematic and a random component. The systematic component measures the expected value of demand. The random component measures fluctuations in demand from the expected value. The systematic component consists of level, trend, and seasonality. Level measures the current deseasonalized demand. Trend measures the current rate of growth or decline in demand. Seasonality indicates predictable seasonal fluctuations in demand.

3. Forecast demand in a supply chain given historical data using time-series methodologies.
   
   Time-series methods for forecasting are categorized as static or adaptive. In static methods, the estimates of parameters and demand patterns are not updated as new demand is observed. Static methods include regression. In adaptive methods, the estimates are updated each time a new demand is observed. Adaptive methods include moving averages, simple exponential smoothing, Holt’s model, and Winter’s model. Moving averages and simple exponential smoothing are best used when demand displays no trend or seasonality. Holt’s model is best when demand displays a trend but no seasonality. Winter’s model is appropriate when demand displays both trend and seasonality.

4. Analyze demand forecasts to estimate forecast error.
   
   Forecast error measures the random component of demand. This measure is important because it reveals how inaccurate a forecast is likely to be and what contingencies a firm may have to plan for. The MAD and the MAPE are used to estimate the size of the forecast error. The bias and TS are used to estimate if the forecast consistently over- or underforecasts.

Discussion Questions

1. What role does forecasting play in the supply chain of a build-to-order manufacturer such as Dell?
2. How could Dell use collaborative forecasting with its suppliers to improve its supply chain?
3. What role does forecasting play in the supply chain of a mail order firm such as L.L.Bean?
4. What systematic and random components would you expect in demand for chocolates?
5. Why should a manager be suspicious if a forecaster claims to forecast historical demand without any forecast error?
6. Give examples of products that display seasonality of demand.
7. What is the problem if a manager uses last year’s sales data instead of last year’s demand to forecast demand for the coming year?
8. How do static and adaptive forecasting methods differ?
TABLE 7-3 Monthly Demand for ABC Corporation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>78,000</td>
<td>89,000</td>
<td>98,000</td>
<td>115,000</td>
<td>113,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. What information does the MAD and MAPE provide to a manager? How can the manager use this information?

10. What information do the bias and TS provide to a manager? How can the manager use this information?

**Exercises**

1. Consider monthly demand for the ABC Corporation as shown in Table 7-3. Forecast the monthly demand for year 6 using the static method for forecasting. Evaluate the bias, TS, MAD, MAPE, and MSE. Evaluate the quality of the forecast.

2. Weekly sales of Hot Pizza are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Demand ($)</th>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Demand ($)</th>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Demand ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimate demand for the next four weeks using a four-week moving average as well as simple exponential smoothing with \( \alpha = 0.1 \). Evaluate the MAD, MAPE, MSE, bias, and TS in each case. Which of the two methods do you prefer? Why?

3. Quarterly sales of flowers at a wholesaler are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Sales (000 $)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Forecast quarterly sales for year 5 using simple exponential smoothing with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) as well as Holt’s model with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) and \( \beta = 0.1 \). Which of the two methods do you prefer? Why?

4. Consider monthly demand for the ABC Corporation as shown in Table 7-3. Forecast the monthly demand for year 6 using moving average, simple exponential smoothing, Holt’s model, and Winter’s model. In each case, evaluate the bias, TS, MAD, MAPE, and MSE. Which forecasting method do you prefer? Why?
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